arXiv:1703.07859v1 [math.CA] 22 Mar 2017

BERGMAN-LORENTZ SPACES ON TUBE DOMAINS OVER SYMMETRIC CONES

DAVID BEKOLLE, JOCELYN GONESSA AND CYRILLE NANA

Abstract. We study Bergman-Lorentz spaces on tube domains over symmetric cones, i.e. spaces of holomorphic functions which belong to Lorentz spaces $L(p,q)$. We establish boundedness and surjectivity of Bergman projectors from Lorentz spaces to the corresponding Bergman-Lorentz spaces and real interpolation between Bergman-Lorentz spaces. Finally we ask a question whose positive answer would enlarge the interval of parameters $p \in (1,\infty)$ such that the relevant Bergman projector is bounded on L^p for cones of rank $r \geq 3$.

1. Introduction

The notations and definitions are those of [9]. We denote by Ω an irreducible symmetric cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with rank r and determinant Δ. We denote $T_\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega$ the tube domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ over Ω. For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the weighted measure μ on T_Ω by $d\mu(x+iy) = \Delta^{\nu-\frac{n}{r}}(y)dxdy$. We consider Lebesgue spaces L^p_ν and Lorentz spaces $L_\nu(p,q)$ on the measure space $(T_\Omega, d\mu)$. The Bergman space A^p_ν (resp. the Bergman-Lorentz space $A_\nu(p,q)$) is the subspace of L^p_ν (resp. of $L_\nu(p,q)$) consisting of holomorphic functions.
Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*

1. *For $\nu \leq \frac{n}{r} - 1$, the Bergman-Lorentz space $A_\nu(p,q)$ is trivial, i.e $A_\nu(p,q) = \{0\}$.*
2. *Suppose $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. Equipped with the norm induced by the Lorentz space $L_\nu(p,q)$, the Bergman-Lorentz space $A_\nu(p,q)$ is a Banach space.*

For $p = 2$, the Bergman space A^2_ν is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space L^2_ν and the Bergman projector P_ν is the orthogonal projector

from L^2_ν to A^2_ν. We adopt the notation

$$Q_\nu = 1 + \frac{\nu}{\frac{n}{r} - 1}.$$

Our boundedness theorem for Bergman projectors on Lorentz spaces is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The weighted Bergman projector P_γ, $\gamma \geq \nu + \frac{\nu}{r} - 1$ (resp. the Bergman projector P_ν) extends to a bounded operator from $L_\nu(p,q)$ to $A_\nu(p,q)$ for all $1 < p < Q_\nu$ (resp. for all $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < 1 + Q_\nu$). In this case, under the restriction $1 < q < \infty$ (resp. $1 \leq q < \infty$), then P_γ for γ sufficiently large (resp. P_ν) is the identity on $A_\nu(p,q)$.*

For the Bergman projector P_ν, following recent developments, this theorem is extended below to a larger interval of exponents p on tube domains over Lorentz cones ($r = 2$) (see section 6). Finally our real interpolation theorem between Bergman-Lorentz spaces is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$.*

(1) For all $1 < p_0 < p_1 < Q_\nu$ (resp. $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p_0 < p_1 < 1 + Q_\nu$), $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, the real interpolation space

$$[A_\nu(p_0, q_0), A_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q}$$

identifies with $A_\nu(p,q)$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, $1 < q < \infty$ (resp. $1 \leq q < \infty$) with equivalence of norms.

(2) For $1 < p_0 < 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}$, $Q_\nu < p_1 < 1 + Q_\nu$, $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$, the Bergman-Lorentz spaces $A_\nu(p,q)$, $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < Q_\nu$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ are real interpolation spaces between $A_\nu(p_0, q_0)$ and $A_\nu(p_1, q_1)$ with equivalence of norms.

These results were first presented in the PhD dissertation of the second author [10].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we overview definitions and properties of Lorentz spaces on a non-atomic σ-finite measure space. This section encloses results on real interpolation between Lorentz spaces. In section 3, we define Bergman-Lorentz spaces on a

tube domain T_Ω over a symmetric cone Ω and we establish Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we study the density of the subspace $A_\nu(p,q)\cap A^t_\gamma$ in the Banach space $A_\nu(p,q)$ for $\nu,\gamma > \frac{n}{r}-1$, $1<p,t\leq\infty$, $1\leq q<\infty$. Relying on boundedness results for the Bergman projectors P_γ, $\gamma\geq\nu+\frac{n}{r}-1$ and P_ν on Lebesgue spaces L^p_ν [2, 3], we then provide a proof of Thorem 1.2. In section 5, we prove Theorem 1.3. In section 6, we ask a question whose positive answer would enlarge the interval of paramaers $p\in(1,\infty)$ such that the Bergman projector P_ν is bounded on L^p_ν for upper rank cones ($r\geq 3$). A second question addresses the density of the subspace $A_\nu(p,q)\cap A^t_\gamma$ in the Banach space $A_\nu(p,q)$. The third question concerns a possible extension of Theorem 1.3.

2. Lorentz spaces on measure spaces

2.1. **Definitions and preliminary topological properties.** Throughout this section, the notation (E,μ) is fixed for a non-atomic σ-finite measure space. We refer to [14], [11], [6] and [5]. Also cf. [10].

Definition 2.1. Let f be a measurable function on (E,μ). The distribution function μ_f of f is defined on $[0,\infty)$ by

$$\mu_f(\lambda)=\mu(\{x\in E:|f(x)|>\lambda\}).$$

The non-increasing rearrangement function $f^\star$ of f is defined on $[0,\infty)$ by

$$f^\star(t)=\inf\{\lambda\geq 0:\mu_f(\lambda)\leq t\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Hardy-Littlewood Theorem)**.** *Let f and g be two measurable functions on (E,μ). Then*

$$\int_E |f(x)g(x)|d\mu(x)\leq\int_0^\infty f^\star(s)g^\star(s)ds.$$

In particular, let g be a positive measurable function on (E,μ) and let F be a measurable subset of E of bounded measure $\mu(F)$. Then

$$\int_F g(x)d\mu(x)\leq\int_0^{\mu(F)} g^\star(s)ds.$$

Definition 2.3. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. The Lorentz space $L(p,q)$ is the space of measurable functions on (E,μ) such that

$$||f||^{\star}_{p,q} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{\star}(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{p} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{q} < \infty$$

(resp.

$$||f||^{\star}_{p,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} \; t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{\star}(t) < \infty \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

We recall that for $p = \infty$ and $q < \infty$, this definition gives way to the space of vanishing almost everywhere functions on (E,μ). It is also well known that for $p = q$, the Lorentz space $L(p,p)$ coincides with the Lebesgue space $L^p(E, d\mu)$. More precisely, we have the equality

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^{\star}(t)^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.1}$$

In the sequel we shall adopt the following notation:

$$L^p = L^p(E, d\mu).$$

We shall need the following two results.

Proposition 2.4. *The functional* $|| \cdot ||^{\star}_{p,q}$ *is a quasi-norm* (it satisfies all properties of a norm except the triangle inequality) *on* $L(p,q)$. *This functional is a norm if* $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ *or* $p = q = \infty$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $1 \leq p < \infty$. *Then for every measurable function* f *on* (E,μ), *we have*

$$||f||^{\star}_{p,\infty} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \mu_f(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We next define a norm on $L(p,q)$ which is equivalent to the quasi-norm $|| \cdot ||^{\star}_{p,q}$. For a measurable function f on (E,μ), we define the function $f^{\star\star}$ on $[0,\infty)$ by

$$f^{\star\star}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^{\star}(u) du.$$

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, we define the functional $|| \cdot ||_{p,q}$ by

$$||f||_{p,q} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{\star\star}(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{p} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{q} < \infty$$

(resp.

$$||f||_{p,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{\star\star}(t) \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \infty).$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The functional $||\cdot||_{p,q}$ is a norm on $L(p,q)$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$. Then for every measurable function f on (E,μ), we have*

$$||f||_{p,r} \leq ||f||_{p,q}$$

and

$$||f||^{\star}_{p,r} \leq ||f||^{\star}_{p,q}.$$

The following lemma asserts that the norm $||\cdot||_{p,q}$ is equivalent to the quasi-norm $||\cdot||^{\star}_{p,q}$ when $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Lemma 2.8. *For all $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and for every $f \in L_{\nu}(p,q)$, we have*

$$||f||^{\star}_{p,q} \leq ||f||_{p,q} \leq \frac{p}{p-1}||f||^{\star}_{p,q}.$$

We now state the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Equipped with the norm $||\cdot||_{p,q}$, the Lorentz space $L(p,q)$ is a Banach space.*

We shall also need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Every Cauchy sequence in the Lorentz space $(L(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$ contains a subsequence which converges a.e. to its limit in $L(p,q)$.*

We finally record the following duality theorem [11].

Theorem 2.11. (1) *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The topological dual space $(L(p,1))'$ of the Lorentz space $L(p,1)$ identifies with the Lorentz space $L(p',\infty)$ with respect to the duality pairing*

$$(\star) \quad (f,g) = \int_{T_\Omega} f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu(z).$$

(2) *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < \infty$. The topological dual space $(L(p,q))'$ of the Lorentz space $L(p,q)$ identifies with the Lorentz space $L(p',q')$ with respect to the same duality pairing $(\star)$.*

2.2. **Interpolation via the real method between Lorentz spaces.** We begin with an overview of the theory of real interpolation between Banach spaces (cf. e.g. [6]).

Definition 2.12. A pair (X_0, X_1) of Banach spaces is called a compatible couple if there is some Hausdorff topological vector space in which X_0 and X_1 are continuously embedded.

Definition 2.13. Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible couple of Banach spaces. Denote $\overline{X} = X_0 + X_1$. Let $t > 0$ and $a \in \overline{X}$. We define the functional $K(t, a, \overline{X})$ by

$$K(t, a, \overline{X}) = \inf \{||a_0||_{X_0} + t||a_1||_{X_1} : a = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in X_0, a_1 \in X_1\}.$$

For $0 < \theta < 1,\ 1 \leq q < \infty$ or $0 \leq \theta \leq 1,\ q = \infty$, the real interpolation space between X_0 and X_1 is the space

$$[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q} := \{a \in \overline{X} : ||a||_{\theta,q,\overline{X}} < \infty\}$$

with

$$||a||_{\theta,q,\overline{X}} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{-\theta} K(t, a, \overline{X}) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

if $1 \leq q < \infty$ (resp.

$$||a||_{\theta,\infty,\overline{X}} := \sup_{t>0} t^{-\theta} K(t, a, \overline{X})$$

if $q = \infty$).

Proposition 2.14. *For $0 < \theta < 1,\ 1 \leq q < \infty$ or $0 \leq \theta \leq 1,\ q = \infty$, the functional $|| \cdot ||_{\theta,q,\overline{X}}$ is a norm on the real interpolation space $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q}$. Endowed with this norm, $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q}$ is a Banach space.*

Definition 2.15. Let (X_0, X_1) and (Y_0, Y_1) be two compatible couples of Banach spaces and let T be a linear operator defined on $\overline{X} := X_0 + X_1$ and taking values in $\overline{Y} := Y_0 + Y_1$. Then T is said to be admissible with respect to the couples $\overline{X}$ and $\overline{Y}$ if for $i = 0, 1$, the restriction of T is a bounded operator from X_i to Y_i.

Theorem 2.16. *Let (X_0, X_1) and (Y_0, Y_1) be two compatible couples of Banach spaces and let $0 < \theta < 1,\ 1 \leq q < \infty$ or $0 \leq \theta \leq 1,\ q = \infty$.*

Let T be an admissible linear operator with respect to the couples $\overline{X}$ and $\overline{Y}$ such that

$$||Tf_i||_{Y_i} \leq M_i||f_i||_{X_i} \quad (f_i \in X_i,\ \ i = 0, 1).$$

Then T is a bounded operator from $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q}$ to $[Y_0, Y_1]_{\theta,q}$. More precisely, we have

$$||Tf||_{[Y_0,Y_1]_{\theta,q}} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta} ||f||_{[X_0,X_1]_{\theta,q}}$$

for all $f \in [X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q}$.

The following theorem gives the real interpolation spaces between Lebesgue spaces and Lorentz spaces on the measure space (E, μ).

Theorem 2.17. *Let $0 < \theta < 1,\ \ 1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$ and define the exponent p by $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$. We have the identifications with equivalence of norms:*

a) $[L_\nu^{p_0}, L_\nu^{p_1}]_{\theta,q} = L_\nu(p, q)$;

b) $[L_\nu(p_0, q_0), L_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q} = L_\nu(p, q)$ *for* $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty,\ \ 1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$.

Definition 2.18. Let (R, μ) and (S, ν) be two non-atomic σ-finite measure spaces. Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty,\ 1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Let T be a linear operator defined on the simple functions on (R, μ) and taking values on the measurable functions on (S, ν). Then T is said to be of restricted weak type (p, q) if there is a positive constant M such that

$$t^{\frac{1}{q}}(T\chi_F)^\star(t) \leq M\mu(F)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (t > 0)$$

for all measurable subsets F of R. This estimate can also be written in the form

$$||T\chi_F||_{q,\infty} \leq M||\chi_F||_{p,1}$$

or equivalently in view of Lemma 2.5,

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\mu_{\chi_F}(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq M\mu(F)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In the next two statements, $L_R(p, 1)$ and $L_S(q, \infty)$ denote the corresponding Lorentz spaces on the respective measure spaces (R, μ) and (S, ν).

Proposition 2.19. *Let (R,μ) and (S,ν) be two non-atomic σ-finite measure spaces. Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Let T be a linear operator defined on the simple functions on (R,μ) and taking values on the measurable functions on (S,ν). We suppose that T is of restricted weak type (p,q). Then T uniquely extends to a bounded operator from $L_R(p,1)$ to $L_S(q,\infty)$.*

Theorem 2.20 (Stein-Weiss)**.** *Let (R,μ) and (S,ν) be two measure spaces. Suppose $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 < \infty$ and $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$ with $q_0 \neq q_1$. Suppose further that T is a linear operator defined on the simple functions on (R,μ) and taking values on the measurable functions on (S,ν) and suppose that T is of restricted weak types (p_0,q_0) and (p_1,q_1). If $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, then T has a unique extension to a linear operator, again denoted by T, which is bounded from $L_R(p,r)$ into $L_S(q,r)$ where*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

If in addition, the inequalities $p_j \leq q_j$ $(j = 0, 1)$ hold, then T is of strong type (p,q), i.e. there exists a positive constant C such that

$$||Tf||_{L^q(S,\nu)} \leq C||f||_{L^p(R,\mu)} \quad (f \in L^p(R,\mu)).$$

We finish this section with the Wolff reiteration theorem. Let $(X, ||\cdot||_X)$ and $(Y, ||\cdot||_Y)$ be two normed vector spaces over the field $\mathbb{C}$. We say that X continuously embeds in Y and we write $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if $X \subset Y$ and there exists a positive constant C such that

$$||x||_Y \leq C||x||_X \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

It is easy to check that X identifies with Y if and only if $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $Y \hookrightarrow X$.

Definition 2.21. If (X_0, X_1) is a compatible couple of Banach spaces, then a Banach space X is said to be an intermediate space between X_0 and X_1 if X is continuously embedded between $X_0 \cap X_1$ and $X_0 + X_1$, i.e.

$$X_0 \cap X_1 \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow X_0 + X_1.$$

We remind the reader that the real interpolation space $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q},\ 0 < \theta < 1,\ 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ is an intermediate space between X_0 and X_1. In this direction, we recall the following density theorem.

Theorem 2.22. [6, Theorem 2.9] *Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible couple of Banach spaces and suppose $0 < \theta < 1, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty$. Then the subspace $X_0 \cap X_1$ is dense in $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta,q}$.*

We next state the Wolff reiteration theorem.

Theorem 2.23 ([15, 12])**.** *Let X_2 and X_3 be intermediate Banach spaces of a compatible couple (X_1, X_4). Let $0 < \varphi, \psi < 1$ and $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$ and suppose that*

$$X_2 = [X_1, X_3]_{\varphi,q}, \quad X_3 = [X_2, X_4]_{\psi,r}.$$

Then (up to equivalence of norms)

$$X_2 = [X_1, X_4]_{\rho,q}, \quad X_3 = [X_1, X_4]_{\theta,r}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\varphi\psi}{1 - \varphi + \varphi\psi}, \quad \theta = \frac{\psi}{1 - \varphi + \varphi\psi}.$$

3. The Bergman-Lorentz spaces on tube domains over symmetric cones

3.1. **Symmetric cones: definitions and preliminary notions.** Materials of this section are essentially from [9]. We give some definitions and useful results.

Let Ω be an irreducible open cone of rank r inside a vector space V of dimension n, endowed with an inner product $(.|.)$ for which Ω is self-dual. Such a cone is called a symmetric cone in V. Let $G(\Omega)$ be the group of transformations of Ω, and G its identity component. It is well-known that there exists a subgroup H of G acting simply transitively on Ω, that is every $y \in \Omega$ can be written uniquely as $y = g\mathbf{e}$ for some $g \in H$ and a fixed $\mathbf{e} \in \Omega$. The notation Δ is for the determinant of Ω.

We first recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. [2] *The following inequality is valid.*

$$\Delta(y) \leq \Delta(y + v) \qquad (y, v \in \Omega).$$

We denote by d_Ω the H-invariant distance on Ω. The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 3.2. [2] *Let $\delta > 0$. There exists a positive constant $\gamma = \gamma(\delta, \Omega)$ such that for $\xi, \xi' \in \Omega$ satisfying $d_\Omega(\xi, \xi') \leq \delta$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \leq \frac{\Delta(\xi)}{\Delta(\xi')} \leq \gamma.$$

In the sequel, we write as usual $V = \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.2. **Bergman-Lorentz spaces on tube domains over symmetric cones. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let Ω be an irreducible symmetric cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with rank r, determinant Δ and fixed point $\mathbf{e}$. We denote $T_\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega$ the tube domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ over Ω. For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the weighted measure μ on T_Ω by $d\mu(x+iy) = \Delta^{\nu-\frac{n}{r}}(y)dxdy$. For a measurable subset A of T_Ω, we denote by $|A|$ the (unweighted) Lebesgue measure of A, i.e. $|A| = \int_A dxdy$.

Definition 3.3. Since the determinant Δ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^n$, it can be extended in a natural way to $\mathbb{C}^n$ as a holomorphic polynomial we shall denote $\Delta\left(\frac{x+iy}{i}\right)$. It is known that this extension is zero free on the simply connected region T_Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$. So for each real number α, the power function Δ^α can also be extended as a holomorphic function $\Delta^\alpha\left(\frac{x+iy}{i}\right)$ on T_Ω.

The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 3.4. [2] *Let $\alpha > 0$.*

(1) We have

$$\left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{z}{i}\right)\right| \leq \Delta^{-\alpha}(\Im m\, z) \qquad (z \in T_\Omega).$$

(2) We suppose $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. The following estimate

$$\int_\Omega \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{x+i(y+\mathbf{e})}{i}\right)\right|^p dx\right) \Delta^{\nu-\frac{n}{r}}(y)dy < \infty$$

holds if and only if $\alpha > \frac{\nu+\frac{2n}{r}-1}{p}$.

We denote by d the Bergman distance on T_Ω. We remind the reader that the group $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$ acts simply transitively on T_Ω. The following lemma will also be useful.

Lemma 3.5. *The measure* $\Delta^{-\frac{2n}{r}}(y)dxdy$ *is* $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$*-invariant on* T_Ω.

The following corollary is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.2.

Corollary 3.6. *Let* $\delta > 0$. *There exists a positive constant* $C = C(\delta)$ *such that for* $z, z' \in \Omega$ *satisfying* $d(z, z') \leq \delta$, *we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\Delta(\Im m\, z)}{\Delta(\Im m\, z')} \leq C.$$

The next proposition will lead us to the definition of Bergman-Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 3.7. *The measure space* (T_Ω, μ) *is a non-atomic* σ*-finite measure space.*

In view of this proposition, all the results of the previous section are valid on the measure space (T_Ω, μ). We shall denote by $L_\nu(p, q)$ the corresponding Lorentz space on (T_Ω, μ). Moreover, we write L^p_ν for the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(T_\Omega, d\mu)$ on (T_Ω, μ).
The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.22 and assertion a) of Theorem 2.17.

Corollary 3.8. *The subspace* $\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(T_\Omega)$ *consisting of* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ *functions with compact support on* T_Ω *is dense in the Lorentz space* $L_\nu(p, q)$ *for all* $1 < p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty$.

Definition 3.9. The Bergman-Lorentz space $A_\nu(p, q),\ 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ is the subspace of the Lorentz space $L_\nu(p, q)$ consisting of holomorphic functions. In particular $A_\nu(p, p) = A^p_\nu$, where $A^p_\nu = Hol(T_\Omega) \cap L^p_\nu$ is the usual weighted Bergman space on T_Ω. In fact, $A^p_\nu,\ 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space L^p_ν. The Bergman projector P_ν is the orthogonal projector from the Hilbert space L^2_ν to its closed subspace A^2_ν.

Example 3.10. Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1,\ 1 < p < \infty,\ 1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The function $F(z) = \Delta^{-\alpha}(\frac{z+i\mathbf{e}}{i})$ belongs to the Bergman-Lorentz $A_\nu(p, q)$ if $\alpha > \frac{\nu+\frac{2n}{r}-1}{p}$. Indeed we can find positive numbers p_0 and p_1 such that $1 \leq p_0 < p < p_1 \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > \frac{\nu+\frac{2n}{r}-1}{p_i} \quad (i = 0, 1)$. By assertion 2) of Lemma 3.4, the holomorphic function F belongs to $L^{p_i}_\nu \quad (i = 0, 1)$.

The conclusion follows by assertion a) of Theorem 2.17 and Theorem 2.22.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and let $f \in A_\nu(p,q)$. For every compact set K of $\mathbb{C}^n$ contained in T_Ω, there is a positive constant C_K such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_K ||f||_{p,q} \qquad (z \in K).$$

Proof. Suppose first $p = \infty$. The interesting case is $q = \infty$. In this case, $L_\nu(p,q) = L^\infty_\nu$ and $A_\nu(p,q) = A^\infty_\nu$ is the space of bounded holomorphic functions on (T_Ω, μ). The relevant result is straightforward.
We next suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $f \in A_\nu(p,q)$. Since $L_\nu(p,q)$ continuously embeds in $L_\nu(p,\infty)$ (Lemma 2.7) it suffices to show that for each $f \in A_\nu(p,\infty)$, we have

$$|f(z)| \leq C_K ||f||_{p,\infty} \qquad (z \in K).$$

For a compact set K in $\mathbb{C}^n$ contained in T_Ω, we call ρ the Euclidean distance from K to the boundary of T_Ω. We denote $B(z, \frac{\rho}{2})$ the Euclidean ball centered at z, with radius $\frac{\rho}{2}$. We apply successively

- the mean-value property,
- the fact that the function

$$u + iv \in T_\Omega \mapsto \Delta^{\nu - \frac{n}{r}}(v)$$

  is uniformly bounded below on every Euclidean ball $B(z, \frac{\rho}{2})$ when z lies on K and
- the second part of Theorem 2.2,

to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \tfrac{1}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} \left| \textstyle\int_{B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})} f(u+iv)dudv \right| \\ &\leq \tfrac{C}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} \textstyle\int_{B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})} |f(u+iv)| \Delta^{\nu-\frac{n}{r}}(v)dudv \\ &\leq \tfrac{C}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} \textstyle\int_0^{\mu(B(z,\frac{\rho}{2}))} f^\star(t)dt \\ &\leq \tfrac{C}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} \textstyle\int_0^{\mu(K_\rho)} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^\star(t) t^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tfrac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \tfrac{C||f||_{p,\infty}}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} \textstyle\int_0^{\mu(K_\rho)} t^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tfrac{dt}{t} \leq C_K ||f||_{p,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for each $z \in K$ with $K_\rho = \bigcup_{z \in K} \overline{B}(z, \frac{\rho}{2})$ and $C_K = Cp' \frac{1}{|B(z,\frac{\rho}{2})|} (\mu(K_\rho))^{\frac{1}{p'}}$.
We recall that there is a positive constant C_n such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

and $\rho > 0$, we have $|B(z,\rho)| = C_n\rho^{2n}$ and we check easily that $\mu(K_\rho) < \infty$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) We suppose that $\nu \leq \frac{n}{r} - 1$. It suffices to show that $A_\nu(p,\infty) = \{0\}$ for all $1 < p < \infty$. Given $F \in A_\nu(p,\infty)$, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *For general $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, the following estimate holds.*

$$|F(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))|\Delta^{\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(y+\mathbf{e}) \leq C_p||F||_{p,\infty} \qquad (x+iy \in T_\Omega). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof of the Lemma. We recall the following inequality [2]:

$$|F(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))| \leq C\int_{d(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),\ u+iv)<1} |F(u+iv)|\frac{dudv}{\Delta^{\frac{2n}{r}}(v)}$$

$$\leq C'\Delta^{-\nu-\frac{n}{r}}(y+\mathbf{e})\int_{d(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),\ u+iv)<1} |F(u+iv)|d\mu(u+iv). \tag{3.2}$$

The latter inequality follows by Corollary 3.6. Now by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\int_{d(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),u+iv)<1} |F(u+iv)|d\mu(u+iv) \leq \int_0^{\mu\left(B_{berg}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),1)\right)} t^{\frac{1}{p}}F^\star(t)t^{\frac{1}{p'}}\frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leq p'||F||_{p,\infty}\left(\mu(B_{berg}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $B_{berg}(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the Bergman ball in T_Ω. By Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.6, we obtain that

$$\mu\left(B_{berg}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}),1)\right) \simeq \Delta^{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}(y+\mathbf{e}). \tag{3.4}$$

Then combining (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) gives the announced estimate (3.1). □

We next deduce that the function

$$z \in T_\Omega \mapsto F(z+i\mathbf{e})\Delta^{-\alpha}(z+i\mathbf{e})$$

belongs to the Bergman space A^1_ν when α is sufficiently large. We distinguish two cases: 1) $\nu \leq -\frac{n}{r}$; 2) $-\frac{n}{r} < \nu \leq \frac{n}{r} - 1$.

Case 1). We suppose that $\nu \leq -\frac{n}{r}$. We take $\alpha > \frac{-\nu-\frac{n}{r}}{p}$ and we apply assertion (1) of Lemma 3.4 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|F(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))\Delta^{-\alpha}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))\right| \\
&= |F(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))| \left|\Delta^{-\alpha-\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))\right| \left|\Delta^{\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))\right| \\
&\leq |F(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))| \left|\Delta^{-\alpha-\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))\right| \left|\Delta^{\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(y+\mathbf{e})\right| \\
&\leq C_p||F||_{p,\infty}|\Delta^{-\alpha-\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the latter inequality, we applied estimate (3.1) of Lemma 3.12. The conclusion follows because by assertion (2) of Lemma 3.4, the function $\Delta^{-\alpha-\frac{\nu+\frac{n}{r}}{p}}(x+i(y+\mathbf{e}))$ is integrable on T_Ω when α is sufficiently large.
Case 2). We suppose that $-\frac{n}{r} < \nu \leq \frac{n}{r} - 1$. Since $\nu + \frac{n}{r} > 0$ and $\Delta(y+\mathbf{e}) \geq \Delta(y)$ by Lemma 3.1, it follows from (3.1) that the function $z \in T_\Omega \mapsto F(z+i\mathbf{e})$ is bounded on T_Ω. The conclusion easily follows.

Finally we remind that $A^1_\nu = \{0\}$ if $\nu \leq \frac{n}{r} - 1$ (cf. e.g. [2]). We conclude that the function $F(\cdot + i\mathbf{e})$ vanishes identically on T_Ω. An application of the analytic continuation principle then implies the identity $F \equiv 0$ on T_Ω.

(2) We suppose that $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. It suffices to show that $A_\nu(p,q)$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $(L_\nu(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$. For $p = \infty$, the interesting case is $q = \infty$ and then $A_\nu(p,q) = A^\infty_\nu$; the relevant result is easy to obtain.
We next suppose that $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. In view of Lemma 3.11, every Cauchy sequence $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $(A_\nu(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$ converges to a holomorphic function $f : T_\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ on compact sets in $\mathbb{C}^n$ contained in T_Ω. On the other hand, since the sequence $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $(L_\nu(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$, it converges with respect to the $L_\nu(p,q)$-norm to a function $g \in L_\nu(p,q)$. Now by Proposition 2.10, this sequence contains a subsequence $\{f_{m_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which converges μ-a.e. to g. The uniqueness of the limit implies that $f = g$ a.e. We have proved that the Cauchy sequence $\{f_m\}$ in $(A_\nu(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$ converges in $(A_\nu(p,q), ||\cdot||_{p,q})$ to the function f. □

4. Density in Bergman-Lorentz spaces. Proof of Theorem 1.2

4.1. **Density in Bergman-Lorentz spaces.** We adopt the following notation given in the introduction:

$$Q_\nu = 1 + \frac{\nu}{\frac{n}{r} - 1}.$$

We shall refer to the following result. For its proof, consult [13] and [2].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. The weighted Bergman projector P_γ, $\gamma \geq \nu + \frac{\nu}{r} - 1$ (resp. the Bergman projector P_ν) extends to a bounded operator from L^p_ν to A^p_ν for all $1 \leq p < Q_\nu$ (resp. for all $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < 1 + Q_\nu$).*

The following corollary follows from a combination of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 2.17.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. The weighted Bergman projector P_γ, $\gamma \geq \nu + \frac{\nu}{r} - 1$ (resp. the Bergman projector P_ν) extends to a bounded operator from $L_\nu(p, q)$ to $A_\nu(p, q)$ for all $1 < p < Q_\nu$ (resp. for all $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < 1 + Q_\nu$) and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*

The following proposition was proved in [2].

Proposition 4.3. *We suppose that $\nu, \gamma > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The subspace $A^p_\nu \cap A^t_\gamma$ is dense in the Banach space A^p_ν. Moreover, if the weighted Bergman projector P_γ extends to a bounded operator on L^p_ν and if P_γ is the identity on A^t_γ, then P_γ is the identity on A^p_ν.*

The next corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\gamma > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. For all $t \in (1 + Q_\gamma^{-1}, 1 + Q_\gamma)$, the Bergman projector P_γ is the identity on A^t_γ.*

We shall prove the following density result for Bergman-Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 4.5. *We suppose that $\gamma \geq \nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$, $1 < p, t < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$. The subspace $A_\nu(p, q) \cap A^t_\gamma$ is dense in the Banach space $A_\nu(p, q)$ in the following three cases.*

(1) $p = q$;
(2) $\gamma = \nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1,\ \ 1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p, t < 1 + Q_\nu$ *and* $1 \leq q < p$;
(3) $p, q \in (t, \infty)$.

Proof. 1) For $p = q,\ \ A_\nu(p,p) = A_\nu^p$, the result is known, cf. e.g. [2].

2) We suppose now that $\gamma = \nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1,\ \ 1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p, t < 1 + Q_\nu$ and $1 \leq q < p$. Given $F \in A_\nu(p,q)$, by Corollary 3.8, there exists a sequence $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions with compact support on T_Ω such that $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \to F\ \ (m \to \infty)$ in $L_\nu(p,q)$. Each f_m belongs to $L_\nu^t \cap L_\nu(p,q)$. By Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.1, the Bergman projector P_ν extends to a bounded operator on $L_\nu(p,q)$ and on L_ν^t respectively. So $P_\nu f_m \in A_\nu(p,q) \cap A_\nu^t$ and $\{P_\nu f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \to P_\nu F\ \ (m \to \infty)$ in $A_\nu(p,q)$. Notice that $A_\nu(p,q) \subset A_\nu^p$ because $q < p$. By Corollary 4.4, we obtain that $P_\nu F = F$. This finishes the proof of assertion *2)*.

3) Let l be a bounded linear functional on $A_\nu(p,q)$ such that $l(F) = 0$ for all $F \in A_\nu(p,q) \cap A_\gamma^t$. We must show that $l \equiv 0$ on $A_\nu(p,q)$.
We first prove that the holomorphic function $F_{m,\alpha}$ defined on T_Ω by

$$F_{m,\alpha}(z) = \Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{z}{m} + i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right) F(z)$$

belongs to $A_\nu(p,q) \cap A_\gamma^t$ when $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large. By Lemma 3.4 (assertion (1)) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{z}{m} + i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right)\right| \leq \Delta^{-\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) = 1.$$

So $|F_{m,\alpha}| \leq |F|$; this implies that $F_{m,\alpha} \in A_\nu(p,q)$.
We next show that $F_{m,\alpha} \in A_\gamma^t$ when α is large. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{T_\Omega} \left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\tfrac{\frac{x+iy}{m} + i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right) F(x+iy)\right|^t \Delta^{\gamma - \frac{n}{r}}(y)dxdy \\ &= \int_{T_\Omega} \left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\tfrac{\frac{x+iy}{m} + i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right) F(x+iy)\right|^t \Delta^{\gamma-\nu}(y)d\mu(x+iy). \end{aligned}$$

We take $\alpha t > \gamma - \nu$. By Lemma 3.4 (assertion (1)) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$I \leq \int_{T_\Omega} \left|\Delta^{-\alpha t + \gamma - \nu}\left(\frac{\frac{x+iy}{m} + i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right)\right| |F(x+iy)|^t d\mu(x+iy).$$

Observing that $(|f|^t)^\star = (f^\star)^t$, we notice that $|F|^t \in L_\nu(\frac{p}{t}, \frac{q}{t})$. By Theorem 2.11, it suffices to show that the function $z \in T_\Omega \mapsto \Delta^{-\alpha t+\gamma-\nu}\left(\frac{\frac{x+iy}{m}+i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right)$ belongs to $L_\nu((\frac{p}{t})', (\frac{q}{t})')$ when α is large. The desired conclusion follows by Example 3.10.

So our assumption implies that

$$l(F_{m,\alpha}) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a bounded linear functional $\widetilde{l}$ on $L_\nu(p,q)$ such that $\widetilde{l}|_{L_\nu(p,q)} = l$ and $||\widetilde{l}|| = ||l||$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.11, there exists a function $\varphi \in L_\nu(p', q')$ such that

$$\widetilde{l}(f) = \int_{T_\Omega} f(z)\varphi(z)d\mu(z) \qquad \forall f \in L_\nu(p,q).$$

We must show that

$$\int_{T_\Omega} F(z)\varphi(z)d\mu(z) = 0 \qquad \forall F \in A_\nu(p,q). \tag{4.2}$$

The equation (4.1) can be expressed in the form

$$\int_{T_\Omega} F_{m,\alpha}(z)\varphi(z)d\mu(z) = \int_{T_\Omega} \Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{z}{m}+i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right) F(z)\varphi(z)d\mu(z) = 0.$$

Again by Theorem 2.11, the function $F\varphi$ is integrable on T_Ω since $F \in L_\nu(p,q)$ and $\varphi \in L_\nu(p', q')$. We also have

$$\left|\Delta^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{z}{m}+i\mathbf{e}}{i}\right)\right| \leq 1 \qquad \forall z \in T_\Omega,\ \ m = 1, 2, \cdots$$

An application of the Lebesgue dominated theorem next gives the announced conclusion (4.2). □

We next deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$.*

1. *For all $1 < p < Q_\nu$ and $1 < q < \infty$, there exists a real index $\gamma \geq \nu + \frac{n}{r} - 1$ such that the Bergman projector P_γ is the identity on $A_\nu(p,q)$.*
2. *For all $p \in (1 + Q_\nu^{-1}, 1 + Q_\nu)$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, the Bergman projector P_ν is the identity on $A_\nu(p,q)$.*

Proof. (1) By Corollary 4.4, for all $t \in (1 + Q_\gamma^{-1}, 1 + Q_\gamma)$, P_γ is the identity on A_γ^t. Next let $1 < p < Q_\nu$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Let the real

index γ be such that $\gamma \geq \nu + \frac{n}{r} - 1$ and $1 + Q_\gamma^{-1} < \min(p, q)$. We take t such that $1 + Q_\gamma^{-1} < t < \min(p, q)$. It follows from the assertion *(3)* of Proposition 4.5 that the subspace $A_\nu(p, q) \cap A_\gamma^t$ is dense in the Banach space $A_\nu(p, q)$. But by Corollary 4.2, P_γ extends to a bounded operator on $L_\nu(p, q)$. We conclude then that P_γ is the identity on $A_\nu(p, q)$.

(2) By Corollary 4.4, for all $t \in (1 + Q_\nu^{-1}, 1 + Q_\nu)$, P_ν is the identity on A_ν^t. Next let $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < 1 + Q_\nu$. By Corollary 4.2, P_ν extends to a bounded operator on $L_\nu(p, q)$. It then suffices to show that the subspace $A_\nu(p, q) \cap A_\nu^t$ is dense in the Banach space $A_\nu(p, q)$ for some $t \in (1 + Q_\nu^{-1}, 1 + Q_\nu)$. If $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < q < \infty$, we take t such that $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < t < \min(p, q)$: the conclusion follows by assertion (3) of Proposition 4.5. Otherwise, if $1 \leq q \leq 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}$, the conclusion follows by assertion (2) of the same proposition.

□

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Combine Corollary 4.2 with Corollary 4.6.

5. Real interpolation between Bergman-Lorentz spaces. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

For all $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$ and $1 \leq q_0,\ q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, by Defintion 2.13 (the definition of real interpolation spaces), we have at once

$$[A_\nu(p_0, q_0), A_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q} \hookrightarrow [L_\nu(p_0, q_0), L_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q} = L_\nu(p, q),$$

with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$. We conclude that $[A_\nu(p_0, q_0), A_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q} \hookrightarrow Hol(T_\Omega) \cap L_\nu(p, q) = A_\nu(p, q)$.

We next show the converse, i.e. $A_\nu(p, q) \hookrightarrow [A_\nu(p_0, q_0), A_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q}$. If we denote $A_0 = A_\nu(p_0, q_0),\ A_1 = A_\nu(p_1, q_1),\ \overline{A} = A_0 + A_1$, we must show that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$||F||_{[A_0,A_1]_{\theta,q}} \leq C||F||_{L_\nu(p,q)} \qquad \forall F \in A_\nu(p, q). \tag{5.1}$$

We recall that

$$||F||_{[A_0,A_1]_{\theta,q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{-\theta} K(t, F, \overline{A}) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{5.2}$$

with

$$K(t,F,\overline{A}) = \inf\ \{||a_0||_{A_0} + t||a_1||_{A_1} : F = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in A_0, a_1 \in A_1\}.$$

We denote $L_0 = L_\nu(p_0,q_0),\ L_1 = L_\nu(p_1,q_1),\ \overline{L} = L_0 + L_1$. Since $L_\nu(p,q)$ identifies with $[L_\nu(p_0,q_0), L_\nu(p_1,q_1)]_{\theta,q}$ with equivalence of norms (Theorem 2.17), we have

$$||F||_{L_\nu(p,q)} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{-\theta}K(t,F,\overline{L})\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Comparing (5.2) and (5.3), the estimate (5.1) will follow if we can prove that

$$K(t,F,\overline{A}) \le CK(t,F,\overline{L}) \qquad \forall F \in A_\nu(p,q).$$

We first suppose that $1 < p_0 < p_1 < Q_\nu$, $1 \le q_0, q_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Let $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, $1 < q < \infty$ and let $F \in A_\nu(p,q)$. By Theorem 1.2, for γ sufficiently large we have $F = P_\gamma F$ and by Corollary 4.2, P_γ extends to bounded operator from L_i to A_i, $i = 0, 1$. So the inclusion

$$\{(a_0,a_1) \in A_0 \times A_1 : F = a_0 + a_1\}$$
$$\supset \{(P_\gamma a_0, P_\gamma a_1) : (a_0,a_1) \in L_0 \times L_1, F = a_0 + a_1\}$$

implies that

$$K(t,F,\overline{A})$$
$$\le \inf\ \{||P_\gamma a_0||_{L_0} + t||P_\gamma a_1||_{L_1} : F = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in L_0, a_1 \in L_1\}$$
$$\le \inf\ \{||P_\gamma||_0||a_0||_{L_0} + t||P_\gamma||_1||a_1||_{L_1} : F = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in L_0, a_1 \in L_1\}$$

where $||\cdot||_i$ denotes the operator norm on L_i $(i = 0, 1)$. We have shown that

$$K(t,F,\overline{A}) \le \left(\max_{i=0,1} ||P_\gamma||_i\right) K(t,F,\overline{L}).$$

Remind that $\max\limits_{i=0,1} ||P_\gamma||_i < \infty$. This completes the proof in this first case.

We next suppose that $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p_0 < p_1 < 1 + Q_\nu$, $1 \le q_0, q_1 \le \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Let $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, $1 \le q < \infty$ and let $F \in A_\nu(p,q)$. Again by Theorem 1.2, we have $F = P_\nu F$ and by Corollary 4.2, P_ν extends to bounded operator from L_i to A_i, $i = 0, 1$. So the inclusion

$$\{(a_0,a_1) \in A_0 \times A_1 : F = a_0 + a_1\} \supset \{(P_\nu a_0, P_\nu a_1) : (a_0,a_1) \in L_0 \times L_1, F = a_0 + a_1\}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K(t,F,\overline{A}) &\leq \inf\ \{||P_\nu a_0||_{L_0} + t||P_\nu a_1||_{L_1} : F = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in L_0, a_1 \in L_1\} \\ &\leq \inf\ \{||P_\nu||_0||a_0||_{L_0} + t||P_\nu||_1||a_1||_{L_1} : F = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in L_0, a_1 \in L_1\} \\ &\leq \left(\max_{i=0,1}||P_\nu||_i\right) K(t,F,\overline{L}). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof in the second case.

We now prove the second assertion of Theorem 1.3. We combine the Wolff reiteration theorem (Theorem 2.23) with the first assertion of the theorem. Here $X_1 = A_\nu(p_0,q_0)$ and $X_4 = A_\nu(p_1,q_1)$. We take $X_2 = A_\nu(p_2,r)$ and $X_3 = A_\nu(p_3,q)$ with $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p_2 < p_3 < Q_\nu, 1 \leq q < \infty$ and $1 < r < \infty$. By assertion (1) of the theorem, we have

$$X_2 = [X_1, X_3]_{\varphi,q} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1-\varphi}{p_0} + \frac{\varphi}{p_3}$$

and

$$X_3 = [X_2, X_4]_{\psi,r} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1-\psi}{p_2} + \frac{\psi}{p_1}.$$

By Theorem 2.23, we conclude that

$$X_2 = [X_1, X_4]_{\rho,q}, \quad X_3 = [X_1, X_4]_{\theta,r}$$

with

$$\rho = \frac{\varphi\psi}{1-\varphi+\varphi\psi}, \quad \theta = \frac{\psi}{1-\varphi+\varphi\psi}.$$

In other words, in the present context, we have

$$A_\nu(p_2,q) = [A_\nu(p_0,q_0), A_\nu(p_1,q_1)]_{\rho,q},\ A_\nu(p_3,r) = [A_\nu(p_0,q_0), A_\nu(p_1,q_1)]_{\theta,r}$$

with

$$\rho = \frac{\varphi\psi}{1-\varphi+\varphi\psi}, \quad \theta = \frac{\psi}{1-\varphi+\varphi\psi}.$$

To conclude, given $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p < Q_\nu$, take for instance $p_3 = p$.

6. Open questions.

6.1. **Statement of Question 1.** In [3], the following conjecture was stated.

Conjecture. Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$. Then the Bergman projector P_ν admits a bounded extension to L^p_ν if and only if

$$p'_\nu < p < p_\nu := \frac{\nu + \frac{2n}{r} - 1}{\frac{n}{r} - 1} - \frac{(1-\nu)_+}{\frac{n}{r} - 1}.$$

This conjecture was completely settled recently for the case of tube domains over Lorentz cones ($r = 2$). The proof of this result is a combination of results of [1] and [8] (cf. [4]; cf. also [7] for the particular case where $\nu = \frac{n}{2}$). In this case, Theorem 1.3 can be extended as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *We restrict to the particular case of tube domains over Lorentz cones (r=2). Let $\nu > \frac{n}{2} - 1$.*

(1) For all $1 < p_0 < p_1 < Q_\nu$ (resp. $p'_\nu < p_0 < p_1 < p_\nu$) and $0 < \theta < 1$, the real interpolation space $[A_\nu(p_0, q_0), A_\nu(p_1, q_1)]_{\theta,q}$ identifies with $A_\nu(p, q)$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, $1 < q < \infty$ (resp. $1 \leq q < \infty$) with equivalence of norms.

(2) For $1 < p_0 < p'_\nu < p_1 < p_\nu$ and $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$, the Bergman-Lorentz spaces $A_\nu(p, q)$, $p'_\nu < p < Q_\nu$, $1 < q < \infty$ are real interpolation spaces between $A_\nu(p_0, q_0)$ and $A_\nu(p_1, q_1)$.

In the upper rank case ($r \geq 3$), we always have $(1-\nu)_+ = 0$ and the conjecture has the form

$$p'_\nu < p < p_\nu := \frac{\nu + \frac{2n}{r} - 1}{\frac{n}{r} - 1}.$$

The best result so far is Theorem 1.2. If we could show that P_ν is of restricted weak type (p_1, p_1) for some $1 + Q_\nu < p_1 < p_\nu$ (resp. $p'_\nu < p_1 < 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}$), then by the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem (Theorem 2.20), we would obtain that P_ν admits a bounded extension to L^p_ν for all $1 + Q_\nu \leq p < p_1$ (resp. $p'_\nu < p_1 < 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}$). This would improve Theorem 1.2.

Question 1. Prove the existence of an exponent $p_1 \in (1+Q_\nu, p_\nu)$ (resp. $p_1 \in (p'_\nu, 1+Q_\nu^{-1})$) such that P_ν is of restricted weak type (p_1, p_1). That is, there exists a positive constant C_{p_1} such that for each measurable

subset E of T_Ω, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p_1} \mu_{\chi_E}(\lambda) \leq C_{p_1} \mu(E).$$

For Lorentz cones ($r = 2$), the latter property holds for all $p_1 \in (p'_\nu, 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}) \cup (1 + Q_\nu, p_\nu)$.

6.2. **Question 2.** The second question is twofold; it is induced by Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 4.6. Let $\nu > \frac{n}{r} - 1$.

(1) Here $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} \leq q < \infty$. Is $A_\nu(p,q) \cap A_\nu^t$ dense in $A_\nu(p,q)$ for some (all) $1 + Q_\nu^{-1} < p \leq t < 1 + Q_\nu$ and $p \in (q, \infty)$?

(2) Here $q = 1$. Suppose that $p \in (1, 1+Q_\nu^{-1}]$. Is $A_\nu(p,1) \cap A_\gamma^t$ dense in $A_\nu(p,1)$ for some (all) $t \in (1,\infty)$ and $\gamma > \frac{n}{r} - 1$?

6.3. **Question 3.** Can the second assertion of Theorem 1.3 be extended to some values $p \in (1, 1 + Q_\nu^{-1}]$ or $p \in [Q_\nu, 1 + Q_\nu)$?

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their gratitude to Aline Bonami, Jacques Faraut, Gustavo Garrigós and Chokri Yacoub for valuable discussions.

References

[1] D. Békollé, A. Bonami, G. Garrigós, F. Ricci, *Littlewood-Paley decompositions related to symmetric cones and Bergman projections in tube domains*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **89** (2004), 317-360.

[2] D. Békollé, A. Bonami, G. Garrigós, C. Nana, M. M. Peloso, F. Ricci, *Lecture Notes on Bergman projectors in tube domains over cones: an analytic and geometric viewpoint*, IMHOTEP-Afr. J. Pure Appl. **5** (2004), Exposé I, Proceedings of the International Workshop in Classical Analysis, Yaoundé 2001.

[3] D. Békollé, A. Bonami, G. Garrigós, F. Ricci, B. Sehba, *Hardy-type inequalities and analytic Besov spaces in tube domains over symmetric cones*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **647** (2010), 25-56.

[4] D. Békollé, J. Gonessa and C. Nana, Lebesgue mixed norm estimates for Bergman projectors: from tube domains over homogeneous cones to homogeneous Siegel domains of type II, preprint.

[5] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1976).

[6] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 129, Academic Press, Inc. (1988).

[7] A. Bonami and C. Nana, *Some questions related to the Bergman projection in Symmetric domains*. Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 6, No. 4, 191-197 (2015).

[8] J. Bourgain and C. Demeter, *The proof of the l^2-decoupling conjecture*, arXiv:1403.5335v3[math.CA] 26 Jul 2015.
[9] J. Faraut, A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1994).
[10] J. Gonessa, *Espaces de type Bergman dans les domaines homogènes de Siegel de type II: dcomposition atomique et interpolation.* Thèse de Doctorat/Ph.D, Université de Yaoundé I (2006).
[11] R.A. Hunt, *On $L(p,q)$ spaces. L'Ens. Math.* **12** (1966), 249-275.
[12] S. Janson, P. Nilsson, J. Peetre (with Appendix by M. Zafran), *Notes on Wolff's note on interpolation spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **48** (3) (1984), 283-299.
[13] B. F. Sehba, *Bergman type operators in tubular domains over symmetric cones*, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **52** (2) (2009), 529–544.
[14] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971).
[15] T. Wolff, *A note on interpolation spaces*, Harmonic Analysis (1981 Proceedings), Lecture Notes in Math. **908**, Springer Verlag (1982), 199-204.

University of Ngaoundéré, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, P. O. Box 454, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon
E-mail address: dbekolle@univ-ndere.cm

Université de Bangui, Faculté des Sciences, Département de mathématiques et Informatique, BP. 908 Bangui, République Centrafricaine
E-mail address: gonessa.jocelyn@gmail.com

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, University of Buea, P.O. Box 63, Buea, Cameroon
E-mail address: nana.cyrille@ubuea.cm